

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,717	01/30/2004	Erik J. van der Burg	3803	5133
21834 7590 06/08/2009 BECK AND TYSVER P.L. L.C.			EXAMINER	
2900 THOMAS AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 100 MINNEAPOLIS. MN 55416			BACHMAN, LINDSEY MICHELE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10, 111 00 110		3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/768,717 VAN DER BURG ET AL. Office Action Summary F........

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CXailillei	AILOIIIL					
		LINDSEY BACHMAN	3734					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period f	or Reply							
WHIC - Exte afte - If No - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 cm of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 cm of time the provision of 37 CFR 1.13 cm of 17 cm of 18	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status								
1) 又	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2008.							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
D'	to more of Oledon							
	sposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___ 6) Other: ___ PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090605 Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 29 October 2008.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

Claim 11 objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 11 contains a period on line 8 prior to the end of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3734

Claim 1 and 11 were amended to recite that the deployment line is unable to supply a compressive force to the implantable device. This limitation is not disclosed in the specification. Further, according to Figure 33, which was cited in Applicant's arguments, and corresponding paragraph [0110], it appears that when the deployment line is proximally retracted, the implantable device undergoes compression initiated at distal end 190 by the deployment line in order to aid in expanding the implantable device. This contradicts Applicant's claim amendment.

Further, the Claim 1 recites that the deployment line supplies tension to the implantable device. The use of tension is not disclosed in the specification. Applicant does, however, refer to proximal retraction on the deployment line in paragraph [0110].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huebsch et al. (US Patent 5,853,422) in view of Kerr (US Patent 5,941,896)

Claim 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, : Huebsch'422 discloses a device that contains an implantable device (200, Figure 14) being movable between a reduced cross-section (Figure 14) and an enlarged cross-section (Figure 16 and 17). The implantable device has a proximal end (214) and a distal end (216) and an apex (225, 225). Huebsch'422 teaches a deployment catheter (40) and a deployment line (230; Figure 15) that is adapted to extend from the proximal to the distal end of the implantable device (see

Art Unit: 3734

Figure 16). The proximal movement of the deployment line aids expanding the implantable device. The deployment line is removable (via the twist lock mechanism shown in Figure 7).

Huebsch'422 does not teach the use of a sheath.

Kerr'896 teaches that it is old and well known to use an introducer catheter (28) with a delivery catheter (38) when placing a device within a vessel in the body. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 9, 10: Huebsch'422 teaches the use of tissue attachment elements (270) for the purpose of aiding in attaching the device to tissue (Figure 21, 22; column 7, lines 19-25).

Claim 11, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20: Huebsch'422 teaches an implantable device (200; Figure 14) having a proximal end (214) and a distal end (216) and a plurality of supports (222) and a barrier (column 7, lines 43-56) movable between a reduced cross section (Figure 14) and an enlarged cross-section (Figures 16 and 17). Hubsch'422 also discloses a deployment catheter (40) and a deployment line (230; Figure 15) that is releasably attached to the implantable device ((via the twist lock mechanism shown in Figure 7) in order to move the implantable device between its collapsed and expanded positions. Implantable device (200) expands via proximal movement of the deployment line.

Art Unit: 3734

Huebsch'422 does not teach the use of an introducer catheter.

Kerr'896 teaches that it is old and well known to use an introducer catheter (28) with a delivery catheter (38) when placing a device within a vessel in the body. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 12: Huebsch'422 teaches a proximal hub (214).

Claim 16, 17: Huebsch'422 teaches the use of tissue attachment elements (270) for the purpose of aiding in attaching the device to tissue (Figure 21, 22; column 7, lines 19-25).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huebsch'422 in view of Kerr'896, as applied to Claim 1, in view of Kotula et al (US Patent 5,846,261).

Huebsch'422 does not teach that the implantable device self expands.

Kotula'261 teaches that it is old and well known to use a shape memory alloy in an atrial septal defect closure device in order to cause the closure device to self expand (column 2, lines 50-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Huebsch'422 so that it too has this advantage.

Art Unit: 3734

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINDSEY BACHMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6208. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:30 am to 5 pm, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on 571-272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Todd E Manahan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734